

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,058	07/11/2003	Chung Scok Sco		4316
34704	7590 11/15/2005		EXAM	INER
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET			WILKINS III, HARRY D	
SUITE 1201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVEN	N, CT 06510		1742	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/618,058	SEO ET AL.			
Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Harry D. Wilkins, III	1742			
Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be set of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 October 2005</u> .				
	<i>'</i>				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
o, are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
,—					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 1.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/618,058 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I (claims 1-3) in the reply filed on 7 October 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Herrmann et al.

Herrmann et al clearly anticipate the invention as claimed. Herrmann et al teach (see abstract (page 2)) the electrolytic reduction of spent oxide nuclear fuel in an electrolyte of lithium chloride and lithium oxide.

Regarding claim 3, Herrmann et al teach (see page 4) operating at -2.40 V and 650°C.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Dees et al (US 6,911,134).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,058

Art Unit: 1742

Dees et al clearly anticipate the invention as claimed. Dees et al teach (see col. 1, lines 12-55 and col. 2, lines 3-10) an electrolytic reduction process for spent oxide nuclear fuel that included a lithium chloride electrolyte to which lithium oxide had been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrmann et al.

The teachings of Herrmann et al are described above.

Herrmann et al teaches (see last page) that the cell operated at varying voltage ranges.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have operated the process with a different voltage in order to optimize the reduction of the spent oxide nuclear fuel to metal.

7. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dees et al (US 6,911,134).

The teachings of Dees et al are described above.

Dees et al fail to teach the operating voltage and temperature of the electrolytic reduction process.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,058

Art Unit: 1742

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have optimized the temperature and voltage characteristics of the process such that the molten salt electrolyte remained liquid and the reduction of the spent oxides occurred without undesirable side reactions.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry D. Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 571-272-1251. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V. King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry D Wilkins, III

Examiner
Art Unit 1742

hdw